Date

His Excellency the President of the Republic of the Fiji Islands, Office of the President, PO Box 2513, Gov ernment Buildings, Suv a. c/- Gov emment House. FAX No: 3301645

Your Excellency,

Yours sincerely

RE: Fiji National Provident Fund paid advertisements in Fiji Times 16/7/11 (page 14) and Fiji Sun 16/7/11 (page 65)

As an Employer Contributor to the Fiji National Provident Fund (FNPF) I was very disturbed to read the two full page paid advertisements appearing in both the Fiji Times and Fiji Sun of Saturday 1677/11 (page 14 and page 65 respectively) titled 'FNPF CLARIFIES PENSION REFORM'.

FNPF reiterates in answer to its own 'question 2' in the separate column at the bottom of the page that, though deferred until September, the 'implementation' of the review will take place. It is public knowledge that the validity of the FNPF proposed review is currently before the High Court in the case of Burness v FNPF & AG [HBC No 183 of 2011].

Yet the FNPF Board (whose current membership does not include two Employers' representatives **chosen** by the Employers of Fiji) is spending large amounts of money, including from Employer Contributors, for such advertisements. This advertisement may be *subjudice*. In paragraph 3 of the advertisement, FNPF states **these two critical reforms will proceed as planned**'; this again interferes with the Court processes underway. Moreover, the 'Board' did not seek the permission of Employers who contribute to the Fund to pay for such expensive advertisements whichmay be unlawful.

I, as an Employer, would like to know what happened to all the years of FNPF employer contributions that I made to the Fund.

I respectfully request that, pursuant to your powers in <u>Cap 47 of the Laws of Fiji</u>, and your inherent power, Your Excellercy appoint an **independent Judicial Commission of Inquiry** into the FNPF as a whole, similar to the appointment of Lord Hutton of Fumess in the United Kingdom in 2010/2011 (Hutton Report) to inquire into pensions in that country. As an Employer and a contributor to the Fund, it is my firm view that this matter calls for a proper investigation through Your Excellency's Office pursuant to <u>Cap</u> 47.

(Name and Emplo	werNo)		